

08-31-00

A

JC994 U.S. PTO
08/30/00

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Peter Hummel, Igor Jacak, and Stanislav Hutnan
 Docket: G&C 30566.123-US-01
 Title: DEFINING PARAMETERS FOR A FINITE ELEMENTS ANALYSIS CALCULATION IN A COMPUTER-ASSISTED DRAFTING PROGRAM

JC907 U.S. PTO
09/651031
08/30/00

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.10

'Express Mail' mailing label number: EL540750156US

Date of Deposit: August 30, 2000

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service 'Express Mail Post Office To Addressee' service under 37 CFR 1.10 and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

By:

Name: Darlene Ross

BOX PATENT APPLICATION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
 Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

We are transmitting herewith the attached:

- ☒ Transmittal sheet, in duplicate, containing Certificate Of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.10.
- ☒ Utility Patent Application: Spec. 16 pgs; 23 claims; Abstract 1 pg(s).
The fee has been calculated as shown below in the "Claims as Filed" table.
- ☒ 6 sheets of Drawings.
- ☒ The right of priority of which is claimed under 35 U.S.C. 119: European Patent Application No. 99117379.0, filed September 3, 1999. A certified copy of the priority document has been ordered and will be filed upon receipt.
- ☒ An unsigned Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney.
- ☒ PTO-2038 Credit Card Payment Form for the amount of \$744.00 to cover the Filing Fee.
- ☒ Other: (1) Information Disclosure Statement; (2) Form 1449; (3) Copy of cited references.
- ☒ Return postcard.

CLAIMS AS FILED

Number of Claims Filed	In Excess of:	Number Extra	Rate	Fee
Basic Filing Fee				\$690.00
Total Claims				
23	20	3	x \$18.00 =	\$54.00
Independent Claims				
3	3	0	x \$78.00 =	\$0.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM FEE				\$
TOTAL FILING FEE				\$744.00

Please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0494. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.

GATES & COOPER

Howard Hughes Center
 6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1050
 Los Angeles, CA 90045
 (310) 641-8797

By:

Name: George H. Gates

Reg. No.: 33,500

Initials: GHG/dr

(PTO TRANSMITTAL - NEW FILING)

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. §119 to European patent application No. 99-117-379.0, filed September 3, 1999, by Peter Hummel, Igor Jacak, and Stanislav Hutnan, and entitled "DEFINING PARAMETERS FOR AN FEA CALCULATION IN A CAD PROGRAM," which application is incorporated by reference herein.

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention concerns the fields of computer aided design (CAD) and finite elements analysis (FEA). In particular, the present invention concerns a way of improving the integration of these fields into a unified design environment.

The use of computer aided design (CAD) techniques has become common engineering practice. The available CAD programs range from simple drawing tools to sophisticated systems covering the whole range of product design and possibly further aspects like engineering or manufacturing or quality control. The term "CAD program" as used herein should therefore be understood in its broadest meaning as any computer program that contains a drawing and/or design component and possibly further components. A widely used CAD program is manufactured in various versions by Autodesk, Inc., San Rafael, USA under the trademark AutoCAD.

Another computer aided technique that has become common practice in the field of mechanical engineering is the technique of finite elements analysis (FEA). FEA is a very powerful numerical method for analyzing mechanical properties of bodies under given load conditions. For example, stress patterns and distributions or the deformation of a body under a load may be calculated and analyzed during the design process without the need for costly experiments. Overviews of the various steps and aspects of FEA can be found in the books "Finite-Element-Analysis, Grundlagen" by R.H. Gallagher, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1976, and "The Finite Element Method" by O.C. Zienkiewicz and R.L. Taylor, 3rd edition, vol. 1, McGraw-Hill, New York 1977. The disclosure of these books is herewith incorporated in the present specification.

For many available FEA programs, the definition of the input parameters is a rather cumbersome process. While it is normally possible to import data defining the body to be analyzed from a CAD program, load and support parameters must be defined in a complex way. In other words, there is often too little compatibility and integration between independent CAD and FEA programs.

The article "Steps towards CAD-FEA Integration" by S. Arabshahi et al., Engineering with Computers, 1993, vol. 9, no. 1, pages 17-26, discloses an attribute editor using a graphical interface to enable the user to define and attach attributes to different regions of a solid model. A load for an FEA calculation can be assigned to an appropriate region of the model using the graphical interface.

A CAD program with integrated FEA functions is currently available under the trademark Genius Desktop 3 from Autodesk, Inc., San Rafael, USA. This program makes it possible to perform FEA for any three-dimensional body defined using the underlying CAD functionality. The results of the FEA calculations are also displayed for the user in a fully integrated way. However, the Genius Desktop 3 product imposes certain limitations with respect to the definition of load and support parameters (load/support conditions). It is only possible to define forces and fixed and movable supports that act on individual points or along edges or on

5

10

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

15

20

30

By allowing the user to define load/support conditions with respect to regions of a face of the body, the present invention opens a new class of possibilities for finite elements modeling. Consider the example that a user wants to model the mechanical properties of a table on which a drinking glass has been placed.

- 5 Clearly, the weight of the glass only acts on the (usually circular) region where the glass contacts the table surface. Using the present invention, this situation can be modeled very conveniently by simply taking the region where the drinking glass is in contact with the table surface as the region used for defining the load/support condition. This region can be defined in a natural way using the functions
10 provided by the CAD program.

- Generally, all types of CAD functions and operations can be used for defining the region within the face of the object. This may include, in preferred embodiments, functions for drawing an object on the face of the body, and/or functions for
15 drawing an object and projecting it onto the face of the body, and/or functions for subtracting (intersecting) the body from another object or body, and/or functions for selecting existing objects, and/or other functions. Especially in the context of powerful CAD programs implementing a wide variety of graphical functions, the range of possibilities is increased greatly. The face and/or the region may be flat
20 or straight or curved.

- The definition of one or more parameter/s for an FEA calculation in a CAD program is possible either in a way that FEA functions are tightly integrated into the CAD program, or in a way that only the parameter value/s is/are obtained in
25 the CAD program and stored or output for use by an external FEA program. Both these extremes as well as intermediate possibilities (e.g., having a CAD and an FEA program running in parallel and communicating via operating system services) are contemplated for implementing the present invention. In the terminology used herein, an "FEA calculation" comprises all FEA steps including
30 the initial mesh generation and subsequent calculation steps.

The load/support condition to be defined by the present invention preferably is a load condition or a (fixed or movable) support condition with respect to the region. This means that the load or support forces may apply either inside the region or outside the region (but normally inside the selected face).

5

In preferred embodiments in which a method of drawing an object is used for defining the region, an option may be provided for temporarily changing the displayed view of the body such that the region can be drawn more conveniently and/or more accurately.

10

Preferably it is possible to repeat the defining step such that several regions and several load/support conditions may be associated with a single face of the body. In preferred embodiments, provisions are made to process overlapping regions and/or load/support conditions in an appropriate way. In particular, these provisions may include determining intersection points between the defined regions and/or determining contact points between a defined region and an edge of the body and/or determining overlapping portions of the defined regions.

15

Preferred embodiments of the computer program product and the apparatus of the present invention also comprise the features mentioned above and/or in the dependent method claims.

20

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Further features, objects and advantages of the present invention will be apparent from the following detailed description of several sample and alternative embodiments of the invention. Reference is made to the schematic drawings, in which:

25

Fig. 1 shows a block diagram of the program structure used for implementing the present sample embodiment,

30

Fig. 2 shows a flow diagram of the context in which the present sample embodiment is embedded,

Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 depict possible dialog windows that are shown to the user at
5 certain processing stages of the present sample embodiment,

Fig. 5 shows a flow diagram of the method of defining a region within a face in the present sample embodiment,

10 Fig. 6 shows a body as displayed during face selection,

Fig. 7a - Fig. 7c depict possible ways in which a body is displayed during the method of defining a region within a face in the present sample embodiment,

15 Fig. 8 shows a flow diagram of some preparatory steps of the finite element analysis performed in the present sample embodiment, and

Fig. 9a - Fig. 9c illustrate some of the steps shown in Fig. 8.

20 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The present sample embodiment is implemented as a CAD program 10 (see Fig. 1). The CAD program 10 may run on a powerful PC type workstation having an operating system 12 like one of the operating systems known under the
25 trademarks Windows 98 or Windows NT, available from Microsoft Inc., Redmond, USA. The CAD program 10 comprises a CAD core program 14 and CAD extensions 16, the CAD extensions 16 in turn containing an FEA module 18 for three-dimensional FEA calculations. In the present sample embodiment, the CAD core program 14 is the product known under the trademark AutoCAD 2000,
30 available from Autodesk, Inc., San Rafael, USA, and the CAD extensions 16 are extensions for mechanical engineering design.

Fig. 2 shows the general steps provided by the CAD program 10 for performing FEA functions. The user initiates the sequence of Fig. 2 by either selecting a suitable menu item or typing an appropriate command ("amfea3d") at the command line prompt of the CAD program 10. The user is then asked, in step 20, to select a three-dimensional body B that is to be used for the finite element analysis. Fig. 6 shows an example of a cuboid shaped body B having a face F, the face F in turn being delimited by edges E. Furthermore, a user coordinate system UCS is shown in Fig. 6.

- 10 The body B selected in step 20 of Fig. 2 is an entity processed by the CAD program 10. Typically, the body must have been defined in the CAD program or imported from a third party source before it can be selected. In some embodiments, selection and import of the body B may occur at the same time, or selection of the body B may be assumed under predefined conditions (e.g., if the active data file loaded into the CAD program 10 contains only a definition of one body). In many embodiments, the body B is selected by the user clicking on a graphical representation of the body B displayed by the CAD program 10.

- 20 In response to selection of the body B, a main dialog window is presented to the user. This main dialog window is shown in Fig. 3 and will be described in more detail below. Continuing with step 22 of Fig. 2, the user next selects the type of load/support condition to be defined for the FEA calculation. In more detail, the user has to make two independent choices. The first choice is that of determining whether the load/support condition shall model a load on the body, or a fixed support of the body, or a movable support of the body. The second choice is that of determining whether the load/support shall act on a single point of the surface of the body B, or along a loop of the body B (i.e., a curve consisting of at least one edge of the body B), or on a whole face of the body B, or on a region within a face of the body B. This second choice determines the further flow of execution in the diagram of Fig. 2.
- 30

If the user specifies that the load/support acts on a single point (branch "yes" of test 24), the user is asked first to select a face of the body B (step 26) and then to define a point within this face (step 28). If the user specified that the load/support acts along a loop (branch "yes" of test 30), first a selection of the loop (step 32) and then a definition of start and end points on the loop (step 34) is required. Alternatively, the user may also define that the load/support acts on the complete (closed) loop. Steps 26-28 and 32-34 represent features known in the prior art (the Genius Desktop 3 product mentioned above) and are therefore not the subject of the present invention.

If a load/support acting on a face of a body is to be defined (branch "yes" of test 36; this test does not have a "no" branch since no further possibility exists), the user is first asked to select a face of the body (step 38). This face selection step 38, which works in the same way as step 26, is illustrated in Fig. 6. The user first performs a mouse click on an edge E of the face F to be selected (the mouse cursor is shown as crosshairs in Fig. 6). A loop comprising the edge E (and defining the face F) is then highlighted by dashed lines. Since the user's mouse click normally does not define a loop uniquely, the user has the choice of either confirming the selection of the highlighted face F or switching to another face encircled by another loop that also contains the selected edge E.

After the selection of face F has been confirmed (thus concluding step 38), the dialog window depicted in Fig. 4 is shown to the user. This window will be explained in more detail below. Continuing in Fig. 2, the "yes" branch of test 40 is taken if the user selects the "whole face" control field in the dialog window of Fig. 4. The "no" branch of test 40 of Fig. 2 is taken for any other method selection from the dialog window, and a region within the selected face F is defined in step 42. The details of step 42 are shown in the flow diagram of Fig. 5, which will be explained below.

Returning to Fig. 2, the type of the load/support condition and its geometrical extension have now been defined for all possible cases. The user is asked to

enter further properties of the load/support condition in step 44. For example, such further properties are the amount and direction of load forces and the direction of movable support forces. For load and movable support forces that act on a curved loop or face or region, it must be defined whether the direction of the force is constant or normal to each point of the loop/face/region. Furthermore, the definition of a region within the face F may either mean that the corresponding load and support forces act inside the region, or within the face F, but outside the region. This information may be provided to the CAD program either during step 42 (e.g., by positioning the insertion point used when defining the region inside or outside the region), or in step 44. Further or fewer or other properties of the load/support conditions may be required in alternative embodiments.

Step 44 finishes the definition of a single load/support condition in the presently described embodiment. The user may now enter another load/support condition in the same way as described above ("yes" branch of test 46 jumping back to step 22). When all load/support conditions have been defined ("no" branch of test 46), properties of the material of the body B, on which the finite element analysis shall be based, are entered in step 48. The user may directly enter physical parameters like the modulus of elasticity or Young's modulus, the yield point, the Poisson's ratio and the density of the material, or he/she may take these parameters from a predefined table (see group box "material" in the main dialog window of Fig. 3).

Referring again to Fig. 2, the FEA is now performed in step 50, and the results are displayed in step 52. A more detailed description of step 50 will be given below in connection with Fig. 8. The various possibilities for and methods of displaying the results to the user in step 52 are known in the art of finite element analysis and are not the subject of the present invention.

The main dialog window shown in Fig. 3 comprises control fields for the individual steps and operations mentioned above. The control fields showing icons in the

top group box (entitled "loads and supports") concern the selection of step 22.
From left to right, these control fields define:

- a load acting on a single point,
- 5 - a fixed support acting on a single point,
- a movable support acting on a single point,
- a uniform load acting along a loop,
- a fixed support acting along a loop,
- a movable support acting along a loop,
- 10 - a uniform load acting on a whole face or a region within the face,
- a fixed support acting on a whole face or a region within the face, and
- a movable support acting on a whole face or a region within the face.

The further group boxes in the main dialog window of Fig. 3 concern the definition
15 of material properties (group box "material" corresponding to step 48 of Fig. 2),
the running and refining of FEA calculations (group boxes "run calculation" and
"refining" corresponding to step 50 of Fig. 2), and the various possibilities of
displaying the results (group box "results" corresponding to step 52 of Fig. 2).

20 The flow diagram of Fig. 5 shows the methods available for performing step 42 in
Fig. 2, i.e., for defining a region within the selected face F of the body B. As
mentioned above, the dialog window shown in Fig. 4 is displayed to the user after
selection of the face F in step 38 of Fig. 2. A mouse click on one of the six
selection fields in the group boxes "select existing" and "draw and project on the
25 face" initiates the corresponding method for selection and, if applicable, also
defines the type of an object to be drawn (step 54 in Fig. 5).

The "yes" branch of test 56 is taken if the user clicks on the control field "entity" in
the group box "select existing" of the dialog window (Fig. 4). In this case, an
30 existing object is chosen by the user for defining the region of the load/support
condition (step 58). The selected object may, for example, be a circle or a closed
polyline or a rectangle. In different embodiments of the present invention, it may

or may not be required that the selected object is fully or partially contained within the face F.

A second way for defining the region within the face on the basis of existing
 5 objects can be chosen by clicking on the control field titled "body for subtraction"
 in the group box "select existing" of the dialog window (Fig. 4). In this selection
 method ("yes" branch of test 60 in Fig. 5), the user first chooses another existing
 body (step 62) intersecting the body B selected in step 20. The region used for
 defining the load/support condition is then delimited by a loop of the intersection
 10 of the newly selected body with the face F (selected in step 38) of the body B.
 First, in step 63, the intersection is calculated by a subtraction operation, and all
 loops of the intersection that are fully contained within the selected face F are
 determined. If there is only one such loop ("yes" branch of test 64), it defines the
 region within the face F. If there are several loops ("no" branch of test 64; this
 15 may happen if, for example, the selected face F is spherical or cylindrical), the
 user is prompted to select one of these loops for defining the region (step 65).

The method "select existing body for subtraction" may also be used for
 determining regions defined by the contact area between the face F selected in
 20 step 38 and the body selected in step 62. Therefore, this method would be
 appropriate in the example mentioned initially where a drinking glass standing on
 a table was to be modeled.

The three control fields named "rectangle", "polyline" and "circle" in the group box
 25 "draw and project on the face" of the dialog window of Fig. 4 each call a method
 for drawing an object. As an example, Fig. 7c shows a square object O being
 drawn on the upper face F of the selected body B. In this example, the object O
 exactly corresponds to the region R to be defined within the face F. This is
 because both the object O and the face F are flat and because the object O is
 30 fully contained in the face F.

Choosing one of the control fields "rectangle", "polyline" and "circle" in Fig. 4 also designates the type of the object to be drawn as a rectangle or a polyline (object having a plurality of line or arc segments connected to each other) or a circle, respectively. In alternative embodiments, further or fewer or other object types, in particular all types of planar or non-planar curves, may be provided. Furthermore, some embodiments may impose the restriction that the object must be drawn on or within the selected face F or must be associated with the selected face F in another way.

After selecting the draw object method ("yes" branch of test 66 in Fig. 5), the CAD program 10 first associates the user coordinate system (UCS) with the selected face F (step 68). An example of the resulting display is shown in Fig. 7a. The user may now change the origin and/or the orientation of the UCS. Furthermore, the user is asked whether the direction of view shall be changed temporarily to facilitate the drawing of the object O. If this is not desired ("no" branch of test 70), the view remains as shown in Fig. 7a, and the user draws an object of the type selected in step 54 onto the face F yielding, for example, the situation shown in Fig. 7c.

The "yes" branch of test 70 is taken if the user desires a temporary change of the direction of view. In this case, the view is changed in step 74 according to the displayed UCS such that the X and Y axes of the UCS define the X and Y axes of the changed view, and the Z axis of the UCS runs in parallel to the direction of view. In other words, the direction of view is normal to the selected face F. Fig. 7b depicts an example of this changed view after step 74. The user may now draw the object O on the face F as in a two-dimensional drawing program (step 76 of Fig. 5). The result, for the example of a rectangular object O, is shown in Fig. 7b. After the object O has been drawn, the original view of the body B is restored in step 78 (see Fig. 7c). As mentioned above, the borders of the drawn object O define the region R within the face F used in the definition of the load/support condition. The load/support may be defined to act either within the region R or on the whole face F with the exception of the region R.

5

10

20

30

body from the selected body B. All loops of the auxiliary body that are fully contained within the selected face F are determined in step 90. Test 92 and step 94 correspond to test 64 and step 65 described above. The auxiliary body is then deleted, and the method of Fig. 5 ends.

5

Fig. 8 shows some of the initial mesh generation steps of the FEA calculation (step 50 in Fig. 2). These steps primarily concern the situation that more than one region has been defined within a single face because of a repeated execution of the program loop comprising the "yes" branch in test 46 of Fig. 2. As an example,

10

Fig. 9a shows a face F in which a first load/support condition has been defined based on a rectangular region R1 and a second load/support condition has been defined based on a circular region R2.

15

The "x"-shaped marks in Fig. 9a denote the positions where the user has inserted the main symbol for each load/support condition, thus defining whether the force/support is to act within or outside the regions R1, R2. In the example of Fig. 9a, the two main symbols have been placed within the corresponding regions R1, R2. This corresponds to load/support conditions applicable within the regions.

20

More in detail, both load/support conditions apply for the intersection portion of regions R1, R2, and no load/support condition applies for the portion of the face F not covered by any of the regions R1, R2. Only the first load/support condition is applicable for the remaining part of the region R1, and only the second load/support condition is applicable for the remaining part of the region R2.

25

The steps shown in Fig. 8 have the objective of determining FEA load/support parameters according to the overlapping load/support conditions described above. First, intersection points between the borders of the defined regions are determined in order to identify any overlapping portions (step 96). This is a straightforward task for planar faces F and simple geometric forms of the regions

30

R1, R2. For curved faces F and/or regions R1, R2 having a complex shape (in particular NURBS curves; NURBS = non-uniform rational B-spline), some projection steps are normally required since such curves are represented

internally as approximations consisting of short straight line segments. It must be ascertained that reasonable approximations of the intersection points are found and projected onto the face F.

- 5 The internal line segment representation is chosen with a view to the future triangulation of the face F in step 100. There, curved structures will be approximated by line segments (sides of triangles) in any case. Any intersection point found in step 96 will later be a corner point of a triangle in the triangulation. This ensures that the triangulation will be compatible with the geometrical shapes and overlapping properties of the regions R1, R2.

- 15 Step 96 further contains the operation of finding contact points between each region R1, R2 and the edges E of the face F. This operation is also performed if only a single region has been defined. Any contact point between the region and the edge is found in an iterative approximation process. The contact point will also be used as a corner point of a triangle in the future triangulation in step 100, thus ensuring that the contact point will be considered as accurately as possible in the ensuing FEA calculations. In alternative embodiments, step 96 is omitted. The accuracy obtained by the FEA calculations will still be sufficient if a fine mesh size is used.

- 25 The following step 98 concerns the generation of the initial meshing front. The result of this step is shown, as an example, in Fig. 9b. The initial meshing front consists of approximated line segment representations for each portion of the borders of the regions R1, R2 and the edges of the face F, each said portion connecting two of the intersection/contact points found in step 96. This ensures that each intersection/contact point coincides with a corner of a meshing triangle generated in step 100. Front lines to only one side will be generated for the border portions coinciding with the edges of the face F (single-headed arrows in Fig. 9b), while two-sided front lines will be generated for the border portions of the regions R1, R2 (double-headed arrows in Fig. 9b).

A mesh generator, which is known per se in the art, is now called in step 100 to generate a triangular meshing for the whole face F. The mesh is generated such that each of the initial front lines obtained in step 98 coincides with a side of a mesh triangle. This ensures that the generated mesh is compatible with the geometrical shapes of the defined load/support conditions. Fig. 9c shows, as an example, the meshing generated for the inside of the region R2. The meshing outside of the region R2 has also been generated in step 100, but it is not shown in Fig. 9c for the sake of clarity.

In step 102 the load conditions for each triangle of the mesh are determined. For each main symbol inserted by the user ("x"-shaped mark in Fig. 9a - Fig. 9c), the following operations are performed: the mesh triangle enclosing the main symbol is identified, and all bordering triangles are recursively determined until a border of the corresponding load/support condition is reached. Thus all triangles for which a load/support condition applies are identified. Of course, there may be triangles for which several load/support conditions are applicable (e.g., the triangles in the intersection portion of regions R1, R2 in Fig. 9c). For example, if a triangle is covered by more than one load condition, the sum of the forces defined in these conditions will be taken as the force acting on the triangle.

This completes the preparation and meshing steps. The remaining FEA calculations are now performed in step 104. These calculations are known in the art (e.g., from the books mentioned initially) and are not the subject of the present invention.

Claims

1. A method for defining at least one parameter for a finite elements analysis (FEA) calculation in a computer-assisted drafting (CAD) program, said method comprising:
 - a) determining a body for which said parameter is to be defined, said body being an entity processed by said CAD program; and
 - b) using at least one graphical function of said CAD program to define a region within a face of said body, said region being used to define a load/support condition for said FEA calculation.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein step b) comprises at least one of the sub-steps of:
 - selecting a type of said load/support condition to be defined,
 - selecting said face of said body, and
 - defining further properties of said load/support condition.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein said load/support condition for said FEA calculation is a condition selected from the following group of conditions:
 - a load condition inside said region,
 - a load condition outside of said region,
 - a support condition inside said region, and
 - a support condition outside of said region.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein said graphical function of said CAD program is a function selected from the following group of functions:
 - a function of drawing an object, said object being used to delimit said region, and
 - a function of selecting an object, said object being used to delimit said region.

5. The method of claim 4, wherein said object is drawn on said face of said body.
6. The method of claim 4, wherein the view in which said body is displayed by said CAD program is temporarily changed for facilitating drawing of said object.
7. The method of claim 4, comprising the step of calculating a projection of said object onto said face for determining said region.
8. The method of claim 1, wherein said graphical function of said CAD program is a function of subtracting a selected body from said body determined in step a).
9. The method of claim 1, wherein step b) is repeated to define a plurality of regions within at least one face of said body, each region of said plurality of regions being used to define at least one load/support condition for said FEA calculation.
10. The method of claim 9, further comprising at least one of the steps of determining intersection points between the defined plurality of regions and determining overlapping portions of said plurality of regions.
11. The method of claim 9, wherein the loads acting on overlapping portions of said plurality of regions are defined as the sums of the individual loads acting on each region.
12. The method of claim 1, wherein said face of said body is a curved face.
13. The method of claim 1, wherein said region used to define said load/support condition is a curved region.

14. The method of claim 1, comprising the further step of determining contact points of said region to an edge of said face.

15. The method of claim 1, wherein mesh elements are generated in a meshing step of said FEA calculation such that the borders of the mesh elements follow the borders of said region.

16. A computer program product for execution by a general purpose computer for defining at least one parameter for a finite elements analysis (FEA) calculation in a computer-assisted drafting (CAD) program, said computer program product including instructions for determining a body for which said parameter is to be defined, said body being an entity processed by said CAD program, and said computer program product further including instructions for defining a region within a face of said body using at least one graphical function of said CAD program, said region being used to define a load/support condition for said FEA calculation.

17. The computer program product of claim 16, wherein said graphical function of said CAD program is a function selected from the following group of functions:

- a function of drawing an object, said object being used to delimit said region, and
- a function of selecting an object, said object being used to delimit said region.

18. The computer program product of claim 16, wherein a plurality of regions is defined within at least one face of said body, each region of said plurality of regions being used to define at least one load/support condition for said FEA calculation.

19. The computer program product of claim 18, wherein the loads acting on overlapping portions of said plurality of regions are defined as the sums of the individual loads acting on each region.

20. An apparatus comprising a general purpose computer programmed for defining at least one parameter for a finite elements analysis (FEA) calculation in a computer-assisted drafting (CAD) program, said general purpose computer
5 being programmed for determining a body for which said parameter is to be defined, said body being an entity processed by said CAD program; and said general purpose computer being further programmed for defining a region within a face of said body using at least one graphical function of said CAD program, said region being used to define a load/support condition for said FEA calculation.

10

21. The apparatus of claim 20, wherein said graphical function of said CAD program is a function selected from the following group of functions:






- a function of drawing an object, said object being used to delimit said region, and
- 15 - a function of selecting an object, said object being used to delimit said region.

22. The apparatus of claim 20, wherein a plurality of regions is defined within at least one face of said body, each region of said plurality of regions being used
20 to define at least one load/support condition for said FEA calculation.

23. The apparatus of claim 22, wherein the loads acting on overlapping portions of said plurality of regions are defined as the sums of the individual loads acting on each region.

A method for defining at least one parameter for a finite elements analysis (FEA) calculation in a computer-assisted drafting (CAD) program comprises the steps of determining a body for which said parameter is to be defined, said body being a entity processed by said CAD program; and using at least one graphical function of said CAD program to define a region within a face of said body, said region being used to define a load/support condition for said FEA calculation. A computer program product and an apparatus comprise corresponding features. The present invention provides an improved way of defining parameters like load or support conditions for an FEA calculation in a CAD program.

"Express Mail" mailing label number EL540750156US
 Date of Deposit AUGUST 30, 2000
 I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being de-
 posited with the United States Postal Service "Express
 Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on
 the date indicated above and is addressed to:
 Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.
 • Darlene Ross
 (printed name)
Darlene Ross
 (Signature)






Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 8




Fig. 9a




Fig. 9b




Fig. 9c

GATES & COOPER

United States Patent Application

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural inventors are named below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

**DEFINING PARAMETERS FOR A FINITE ELEMENTS ANALYSIS CALCULATION
IN A COMPUTER-ASSISTED DRAFTING PROGRAM**

The specification of which:

a. ☒ is attached hereto.

b. ☐ was filed on _____ as United States Application Number or PCT International Application Number _____ and was amended on _____ (if applicable), which I have reviewed and for which I solicit a United States patent.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 (attached hereto).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate or any PCT application having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

a. ☐ no such applications have been filed.

b. ☒ such applications have been filed as follows:

FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, CLAIMING PRIORITY UNDER 35 USC § 119			
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)
Europe	99 117 379.0	03 SEP 99	
OTHER FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, FILED BEFORE THE PRIORITY APPLICATION(S)			
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120 of any United States application(s), or 365(c) of any PCT international application(s) designating the United States of America, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose

material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

U.S. PARENT APPLICATION OR PCT PARENT NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS (patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

U.S. PROVISIONAL APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (Day, Month, Year)

I hereby appoint the following attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith:

George H. Gates	Registration No. 33,500
Victor G. Cooper	Registration No. 39,641
Karen S. Canady	Registration No. 39,927
William J. Wood	Registration No. 42,236
Jason S. Feldmar	Registration No. 39,187

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Gates & Cooper to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to the firm of Gates & Cooper at the address indicated below:

GATES & COOPER
Howard Hughes Center
6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1050
Los Angeles, CA 90045

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

(1)	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name HUMMEL	First Given Name PETER	Second Given Name
	Residence & Citizenship	City Amberg	State or Foreign Country Germany	Country of Citizenship Germany
	Post Office Address	Post Office Address Bad Bergzaberner Strasse 4	City Amberg	State & Zip Code/Country 92224 / Germany
Signature of Inventor(1):				Date:

(2)	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name JACAK	First Given Name IGOR	Second Given Name
	Residence & Citizenship	City Kosice	State or Foreign Country Slovakia	Country of Citizenship Slovakia
	Post Office Address	Post Office Address Starozagorska 6	City Kosice	State & Zip Code/Country 04023 / Slovakia
Signature of Inventor(2):				Date:
(3)	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name HUTNAN	First Given Name STANISLAV	Second Given Name
	Residence & Citizenship	City Kosice	State or Foreign Country Slovakia	Country of Citizenship Slovakia
	Post Office Address	Post Office Address Sofijska 11	City Kosice	State & Zip Code/Country 04013 / Slovakia
Signature of Inventor(3):				Date:

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

- (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) each inventor named in the application;
- (2) each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.